Number 14 Archivaria

Summer 1982

Articles

Tom Nesmith
Archives from the Bottom Up: Social History
and Archival Scholarship
David Gagan and H.E. Turner
Social History in Canada:
A Report on the State of the Art
Peter Moogk
Beyond the C11 Series: Approaches and Sources
for the Social History of New France
Peter Ward
Family Papers and the New Social History 6
Susan Larkin, Beth Light and Alison Prentice
Studying the History of Occupation: Quantitative Sources on
Canadian Teachers in the Nineteenth Century
Bruce Bowden and Roger Hall
The Impact of Death: An Historical and
Archival Reconnaissance into Victorian Ontario 9
Gareth Shaw
Nineteenth Century Directories as Sources
in Canadian Social History
Chad Gaffield
Theory and Method in Canadian Historical Demography 12
Jan Sundin and Ian Winchester
Towards Intelligent Databases: Or the Database
as Historical Archivist
Counterpoint
CBC Archives (Derek Reimer; Ernest Dick; Josephine Langham)
The Real Nucleus of the National Map Collection (Robert Hayward)
Book Reviews (see following page) 18
Notes on Contributors 20

2 ARCHIVARIA

Book Reviews

TAYLOR, The Arrangement and Description of Archival Materials	
(J. Robert Davison)	181
HICKERSON, Archives and Manuscripts; An Introduction to Automated	
Access (Peter Simmons)	183
GRACY, An Introduction to Archives and Manuscripts (Jean Tener)	185
DRYDEN, Images in Time: A Basic Guide to the Processing and	
Preservation of Historical Photographs (Margery Hadley)	187
SCHWARTZ, ed., The Past in Focus: Photography and British	
Columbia, 1858-1914 (Douglas Cole)	189
Australian Historical Bibliography. Bulletins No. 5 and No. 6 (George Bolitenko)	191
GEBHARD, ed., The Saskatchewan Oral History Conference Proceedings 1981	
(Josephine Langham)	193
GAGAN, Hopeful Travellers: Families, Land and Social Change in	
Mid Victorian Peel County, Canada West (Bruce Wilson)	195
FLAHERTY, ed., Essays in the History of Canadian Law (Catherine Shepard)	
National Register of Archives and Manuscripts in New Zealand (Grace Hyam)	
Sir John Latham: A Guide to His Papers in the National Library of Australia	
(T. Eastwood)	199
(,	

Archives and Social History

This issue of Archivaria is devoted to an examination of the developments in the field of social history generally and the social history of Canada in particular. Most of the writers featured here are historians, who explain either the aims and preoccupations in the field generally or describe their own area of research in particular, with special emphasis on the problems they encounter in using archival sources. The rationale for such a special issue is perhaps not self-evident. As several of the writers argue or imply, social historians have both extended the range of archives that historians have traditionally employed in their studies and reexamined familiar archival sources in the light of the new questions they are attempting to answer. In this context, much still depends on the availability of the sources, but perhaps more hangs on a critical analysis of the value of the sources for the purposes of historical study. Historians have always been concerned to evaluate their evidence, but recent social history presents us with a number of questions about the character and value of whole bodies or classes of archival record. How were they created? How do they relate to other documentation in the same area? How may they be used? These are questions that have a bearing on the basic archival functions of appraisal and selection, arrangement, description, and reference service. Few of the writers here offer direct counsel to archivists, who are left to puzzle out the meaning of all the recent ferment in social history for themselves, but there is a strong suggestion throughout the volume, whether explicitly or implicitly, that historians are looking for rather different support from archivists than they have often required in the past. Their own critical approach to archival sources makes historians see that archivists, who are responsible for ensuring that records are preserved and made available for research, must inevitably bring critical skills to their own work.

The so-called new social history is a manifestation of intellectual activity in the historical profession and allied disciplines over the last two decades or so. Historians of the 1960s and 1970s formed their own preoccupations and ideas, as have historians in every age, at least in part out of their own experience of their own age. Archivists are often perplexed by injunctions that they keep up-to-date on the trends in historical research because the fashion apparently changes as rapidly as the social fabric of our times. What we perhaps fail to see is that archivists operate in similar circumstances. They and the records they keep are subject to the subtle influences of social change, to say nothing of the institutions archivists work in. In this context, social history offers avenues of analysis that may be especially valuable to archivists. If Theodore Schellinberg was correct in his judgement that the basic tasks of the archivist are essentially analytical, we can learn from the new social history ways of looking at and judging the value of archival records. Unless archivists develop their critical skills, they will be open to the accusation that they fly by the seat of their pants. Just as professional historians critical skills distinguish them from popularizers and everyman as historian, so archivists critical skills distinguish them from antiquarian collectors and everyman as archivist.